

Chapter 4 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

4.1 Agency Consultation

4.1.1 Consulting Agencies

In a September 19th, 2005 letter, Reclamation formally invited the NPS and BIA to be consulting parties under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), implementing regulations (36 CFR 800). Both agencies subsequently expressed a desire to be consulting parties. Reclamation has consulted with the Fort Hall Business Council and the Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Land Use Commission about the bank stabilization project. Tribal consultation is addressed under Section 4.2.

On several occasions, Reclamation has met with the SHPO and provided them with details of the project. A letter was received from the SHPO indicating they have no objection to Reclamation substituting the NEPA process for the Section 106 review process for the present undertaking. The ACHP was invited to participate in the consultation under 36 CFR 800 regulations, but officially declined that invitation.

Other agencies such as the NRCS have taken an active role in seeking solutions to protect the Landmark. The NRCS prepared a demonstration project plan for bank stabilization which was used by the Tribes, BIA, and Reclamation upstream of the Landmark in 2001 and 2002. The Corps has jurisdiction over the navigable waters of the United States and as such reviews any 404 permits for stabilization work on the Snake River. Reclamation consulted with these agencies and other Federal and local agencies throughout the process to gather valuable input and to meet regulatory requirements. Since the proposed action would occur on Tribal lands, it is unlikely any local planning or zoning ordinances would apply. State involvement would include the SHPO.

4.1.2 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966

The NHPA, as amended, requires that Federal agencies consider the effects that their projects have on historic properties. Section 106 of this Act and its implementing regulations (36 CR Part 800) provides procedures that Federal agencies must follow to comply with NHPA on specific undertakings. The Act requires Federal agencies to consult with SHPO, Native American tribes with a traditional or culturally-significant religious interest in the study area, and the interested public. Federal agencies must identify historic

properties in the area of potential effect for a project. The significance of historic properties must be determined, and the Federal agency must mitigate adverse effects the project may cause on significant resources.

Section 800.6 of the 36 CFR 800 regulations requires agencies to notify the ACHP and invite their participation for any undertakings that have an adverse effect upon a National Historic Landmark. Section 800.10 directs agency officials, to the maximum extent possible, to undertake such planning and actions as may be necessary to minimize harm to any National Historic Landmark that may be directly and adversely affected by an undertaking. Section 800.10 also directs agencies to notify the Secretary (through NPS) of any consultation involving a National Historic Landmark and invite the Secretary to participate in the consultation where there may be an adverse effect.

Under Section 101(d)(2) of the NHPA, Indian tribes have an opportunity to assume all or any part of the functions of a SHPO in accordance with specific procedures outlined in the Act. The tribal official who has assumed responsibilities of the SHPO for Section 106 compliance on tribal lands under Section 101(d)(2) of NHPA, is referred to as the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO). The Tribes have not assumed the responsibilities of the SHPO for Section 106 compliance on tribal lands, and do not have a formal designated THPO. Therefore, under the 36 CFR 800 regulations, Reclamation must consult with a representative designated by the Tribes, in addition to the Idaho SHPO, during review of the present bank stabilization undertaking.

Reclamation has collected existing cultural resource information from Class III inventories, photo-interpretive mapping, archaeological testing, GPR surveys, and historic documents to prepare the draft EA and to facilitate subsequent compliance with the NHPA. Coordination with the Idaho SHPO and other relevant agencies and organizations will occur in conjunction with public review of the draft EA. It is understood that specific, future undertakings not related to the present stabilization project will require separate consultations with the SHPO and the Tribes pursuant to the 36 CFR 800 regulations.

4.1.3 Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA)

The Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) of 1979, as amended, insures the protection and preservation of archaeological sites on Federal and Indian land. ARPA requires that Federal permits be obtained before archaeological resource investigations can begin on Federal or Indian land. If a permit issued may harm an archaeological resource, the Federal land manager, before issuing such permit, must notify the Indian tribe which may consider the site as having religious or cultural importance. The Act provides for civil and criminal penalties for unauthorized removal and collection of archaeological resources.

4.1.4 Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA)

Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) of 1990 regulates tribal consultation procedures in the event of discoveries of Native American graves and other NAGPRA “cultural items.” The Act requires consultation with tribes during Federal project planning if graves and other NAGPRA cultural items might be discovered. NAGPRA details procedures for repatriation of human skeletal remains and other cultural items with appropriate tribes.

4.1.5 Endangered Species Act (1973) Section 7 Consultation

The evaluation of endangered species contained in this draft EA serves as Reclamation’s biological assessment as required under the ESA. It evaluates impacts on listed and candidate species, including the gray wolf (experimental, non essential), the bald eagle (threatened), Utah valvata snail (endangered), and Ute ladies’-tresses (threatened). Reclamation has determined that none of the action alternatives would have any negative impacts on these species and is therefore not required to formally consult with the USFWS. There are also no ESA-listed anadromous fish known to occur within the study area; therefore, Reclamation does not need concurrence from USFWS and NOAA Fisheries; however, a copy of the Final EA will be distributed to each agency.

Reclamation has proposed mitigation measures to avoid long-term impacts on bald eagles, Utah valvata snail, and Ute ladies’-tresses. It was determined that none of the action alternatives would have long-term negative impacts on these species. Therefore, Alternatives 2, 3, 4 and 5 have ESA determinations of May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect.

4.2 Tribal Coordination and Consultation

In accordance with 1501.6 of NEPA, an invitation to be a cooperating entity was mailed to the Tribes on September 8, 2005.

4.2.1 Government-to-Government Consultation with Tribes

Reclamation has worked closely with the Fort Hall Business Council, the Tribal Land Use Commission, and the Tribal staff to develop alternatives for the Landmark stabilization. Several meetings were held with Tribal representatives to receive input (see Appendix B – Tribal Consultation). A copy of the draft EA was provided to the Tribes for additional data, discussion, and comment prior to release to the public. The representatives that will receive the draft EA are listed in Appendix C.

Since the proposed project area is on Tribal lands, none of the action alternatives can be implemented without a resolution from the Business Council to allow Reclamation to construct on Tribal land. Additionally, Reclamation would need BIA concurrence.

4.2.2 Indian Sacred Sites (Executive Order 13007)

Reclamation has discussed the Landmark bank stabilization proposal with representatives of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes. Tribal representatives have been given opportunities through site visits and meetings to provide comments about Indian sacred sites that might be located in the project area. Although such information is not always disclosed by the Tribes for reasons of sensitivity, Reclamation would consider that information if it were provided by the Tribes.

4.2.3 Indian Trust Assets (ITAs)

All of the proposed stabilization alternatives would occur on Tribal lands and could impact Tribal resources and/or Indian Trust Assets. See Section 3.10 for a discussion regarding ITAs.

4.2.4 Other Laws and Regulations

The relationship between Federal agencies and sovereign Tribes is defined by laws, regulations and Executive Orders addressing the requirement of Federal agencies to notify or consult with Native American groups or otherwise consider their interests when planning and implementing Federal undertakings. These mandates are included as Appendix D.

Furthermore, since the proposed project site is located on Reservation lands, Reclamation cannot implement any of the bank stabilization alternatives without the approval of the Fort Hall Business Council.

4.3 Public Involvement

Reclamation has involved numerous agencies in discussions regarding protection of the Landmark that has been discussed throughout the draft EA. Several site visits occurred with the Tribes and agencies, including one on October 24, 2005. In September 2005, the NEPA scoping process was initiated by sending letters to Federal, State and local agencies, congressional representatives, and individual landholders along the Snake River. Additionally, a news release was provided to the Sho-Ban News. Copies of the letters and news release can be found in Appendix A.

Chapter 5 REFERENCES

Parenthetical Reference

Bibliographic Citation

57 FR 2048	Federal Register. 1992. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Final Rule: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule to List the Plant <i>Spirantes diluvialis</i> (Ute Ladies'-tresses) as a Threatened Species. January 17, 1992, Vol. 57, No. 12, pp. 2048-2054.
60 FR 35999	Federal Register. 1995. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Final Rule: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule to Reclassify the Bald Eagle from Endangered to Threatened in all of the Lower 48 States. July 12, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 133, pp. 35999-36010.
64 FR 36453	Federal Register. 1999. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Proposed Rule: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Rule to Remove the Bald Eagle in the Lower 48 States from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. July 6, 1999, Vol. 64, No. 128, pp. 36453-36464.
ACHP 2005a	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 2005. "Historic Preservation Programs & Officers." <u>SHPO State Historic Preservation Officers</u> .. 18 Nov. 2005 < http://www.achp.gov/shpo.html >.
ACHP 2005b	"About ACHP- General Information." <u>Introduction</u> . Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 18 Nov. 2005 < http://www.achp.gov/aboutachp.html >.
BIA 2003	Bureau of Indian Affairs. 2003. Letter report to Bureau of Reclamation, Snake River Area Office, providing results of the 2001-2002 bank erosion demonstration project near the Fort Hall National Historic Landmark.
Boccard 1980	Boccard,B. 1980. Important Fish and Wildlife Habitat of Idaho: An Inventory. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

Bowler and Frest 1992	Bowler, P.A. and T.J. Frest. 1992. "Introduced Freshwater Mollusk in the Middle Snake River." Proceeding of the Desert Fisheries Council 23: 28-44.
Carpenter 2004	Carpenter, G. 2004. Ground Penetrating Radar Survey Historic Fort Hall 9/1/04. Technical report prepared by Sage Earth Science, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
Clark 1994	Clark, G. M. 1994. Assessment of Selected Constituents in Surface Water of the Upper Snake River Basin, Idaho and Western Wyoming, Water Years 1975-89. United States Geological Survey Water Resource Investigations Report 93-4229. Boise, ID.
Clark 1997	Clark, G. M. 1997. Assessment of Nutrients, Suspended Sediment, and Pesticides in Surface Water of the Upper Snake River Basin, Idaho and Western Wyoming, Water Years 1991-95. United States Geological Survey Water Resource Investigations Report 97-4020. Boise, ID.
Corps 1993	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1993. Emergency Streambank Protection Project at Fort Hall Monument, Idaho. Appraisal Report CWIS 92860.
USDC 1996	U.S. Department of Commerce. 1996. <i>American Indian Reservations and Trust Areas</i> . Economic Development Administration. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
Coyner 1989	Coyner, J. 1989. <i>Status Check on Reported Historic Populations of <i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i></i> . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah.
Coyner 1990	Coyner, J. 1990. <i>Spiranthes diluvialis Supplemental Status Report</i> . Report for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah.
Cowardin et al. 1979	Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, E. T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U. S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Jamestown, ND: Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Online. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/1998/classwet/classwet.htm

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

(Version 04DEC98).

Curtis 1997	Curtis, D. 1997. Letter from Donald Curtis, Jr., Lieutenant Colonel, Corps Engineers, Walla Walla, Washington, to Mr. Keith Tinno, Business Chairman of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Council, Fort Hall, Idaho.
Ebert and Camilli 1989	Ebert, J. and E. Camilli 1989. A Photointerpretive Survey at and Around Fort Hall National Historic Landmark, Idaho Ebert and Associates, Albuquerque, N.M.
EPA 1999	Environmental Protection Agency. 1999. Protocol for developing nutrient TMDLs. EPA 841-B-99-007. Office of Water (4503F), US EPA, Washington DC: 135 p.
Heidel 1998	Heidel, B. 1998. Conservation status of <i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i> Sheviak in Montana. Unpublished report, Helena, Montana.
Heidel 2001	Heidel, B. 2001. Monitoring Ute ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>), in Jefferson County, Montana, final report, 1996-2000. Report prepared for the Bureau of Land Management Montana State Office and Butte Field Office by the Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena, Montana.
Hernandez 2004	Hernandez, Sam. 2004. Agricultural Engineer. Bureau of Indian Affairs. Fort Hall Agency, Fort Hall, Idaho. Personal communication.
Hevewah 1996	Hevewah, Hobby. 1996. Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Member and former Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Lane Use Commissioner. Fort Hall, Idaho. Personal communication.
Houser 1992	Houser, Brenda B., 1992. Quaternary Stratigraphy of an Area Northeast of American Falls Reservoir, Eastern Snake River Plain, Idaho: <i>Geological Society of America Memoir</i> 179, p. 269-287.
IDEQ 2004	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. 2004. <i>Draft American Falls Subbasin Total Maximum Daily Load Plan: Subbasin Assessment and Loading Analysis</i> , Idaho DEQ, Pocatello, ID: 266 p.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

- Jennings 1989 Jennings, W.F. 1989. *Final Report. Species Studied: Eustoma grandiflorum, Spiranthes diluvialis, Malaxis brachypoda, Hypoxis hirsuta, Physaria bellii, Aletes humilis*. Report for the Nature Conservancy under the Colorado Natural History Small Grants Program. The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, Colorado.
- Jennings 1990 Jennings, W.F. 1990. *Final Report. Species Studied: Spiranthes diluvialis, Sisyrinchium pallidum*. Report for the Nature Conservancy under the Colorado Natural History Small Grants Program. The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, Colorado.
- Jones 2002 Jones, W.M. 2002. Profiles of conservation sites for *Spiranthes diluvialis* Sheviak in southwestern Montana. Report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service by the Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena, Montana.
- Jordan 1999 Jordan, L.A. 1999. *Ute Ladies'-tresses Orchid Biology/Ecology Summary*. Recovery Team, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Lynse 2003 Lynse, S.J. 2003. *The Life History and Ecology of Two Endangered Snake River Gastropods: Utah Valvata (Valvata utahensis: Call) and Idaho Speingsnail (Pyrgulopsis idahoensis:Pilsbry)*. Master's Thesis, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.
- Mancuso 2004 Mancuso, M. 2004. Field survey for Ute ladies'-tresses (*Spiranthes diluvialis*) on the Henry's Fork, eastern Idaho. Report prepared for the Bureau of Land Management Upper Snake River District by the Idaho Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho.
- Mooney 2005 Mooney, D. 2005. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Hydraulic Engineer. Personal communication. September, 2005.
- Mooney 2007 Mooney, D. 2007. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Technical Memorandum. *Fort Hall Reservation Boundary Geomorphic Results*. Technical Services Center, Denver, CO.

Parentetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

Moseley 1997a	Moseley, R.K. 1997. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>): Preliminary Status in Idaho. Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, status survey report prepared for Idaho Department of Parks and Recreation. 11pp. plus appendices.
Moseley 1997b	Moseley, R.K. 1997. Idaho Fish and Game Department, Boise, Idaho. Personal communication.
Moseley 1998a	Moseley, R.K. 1998a. <i>Riparian and Wetland Community Inventory of 14 Reference Areas in Southwestern Idaho</i> . Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Technical bulletin no. 98-5, prepared for Lower Snake River District, Bureau of Land Management. Order No. 1422-D010-P97-0116. 52 pp., plus appendices.
Moseley 1998b	Moseley, R.K. 1998b. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 1997 Status Report. Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
Moseley 1999	Moseley, R.K. 1999. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 1998 Status Report. Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
Moseley 2000	Moseley, R.K. 2000. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 1999 Status Report. Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
Murphy 2000	Murphy, C. 2000. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 2000 Status Report. Natural Resource Policy Bureau, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho
Murphy 2001	Murphy, C. 2001. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 2001 Status Report. Natural Resource Policy Bureau, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho.
Murphy 2003	Murphy, C. 2003. Ute Ladies'-tresses (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) in Idaho: 2002 Status Report. Natural Resource Policy Bureau, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

Murphy 2004	Murphy, C. 2004. <i>Monitoring Ute Ladies'-tresses (Spiranthes diluvialis) on the South Fork Snake River, Idaho: Conservation Status and Third Year Results of Habitat Monitoring</i> . Idaho Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho.
Newman 2005	Newman, Ryan L. 2005. <i>Fort Hall Monument Bank Stabilization Project Snail Survey</i> . U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, ESA Section 10 Annual Report.
NPS 1984	National Park Service. 1984. Section 8 Report to Congress on the status of the Fort Hall National Historic Landmark.
NPS 1991	National Park Service. 1991. Letter from Staff Archaeologist, National Park Service, Western Region, to Associate Regional Director, National Park Service, Western Region.
NPS 2001	National Park Service. 2001. Map depicting 1993 test units and auger probes, remnant walls, Oregon Trail remnants, and vegetation in the vicinity of the Fort Hall National Historic Landmark.
NPS 2005	National Park Service. 2005. "National Historic Landmarks Program." 18 Nov. 2005 < http://www.cr.nps.gov/nhl/ >
NPS	National Park Service. 2005. "Tribal Historic Preservation Officers " <u>National Park Service's THPO List</u> . Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 18 Nov. 2005 < http://www.achp.gov/thpo.html >.
Pierce and Scott 1982	Pierce, Kenneth L. and Scott, William E., 1982. Pleistocene Episodes of Alluvial-gravel Deposition, Southeastern Idaho in Bill Bonnichsen and R.M. Breckinridge, editors, <i>Cenozoic Geology of Idaho</i> : Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 26, p. 685-702.
Ritter 2000	Ritter, S. 2000. Idaho Bird Conservation Plan Idaho Partners in Flight Hamilton, Montana.
Sampson et al. 2001	Sampson, R. W., T.W. Stevenson, and J.M. Castro. 2001. The Snake River from Ferry Butte to American Falls Reservoir – changes and trends in stream form and Function. Report of Natural Resources Conservation Service to Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall, Idaho.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

Scott et al. 1982	Scott, William E., Pierce, Kenneth L., Bradbury, J. Platt, Forester, Richard M., 1982. Revised Quaternary Stratigraphy and Chronology in the American Falls Area, Southeastern Idaho <i>in</i> Bill Bonnichsen and R.M. Breckinridge, editors, Cenozoic Geology of Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 26, p. 581-595.
Sheviak 1984	Sheviak, C.J. 1984. <i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i> (Orchidaceae), a new species from the western United States. <i>Brittonia</i> 36(1): 8-14.
Sheviak and Brown 2002	Sheviak, C.J. and P.M. Brown. 2002. <i>Spiranthes</i> . Pp. 530-545. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee. <i>Flora of North American North of Mexico. Volume 26. Magnoliophyta: Liliidae: Liliales and Orchidales</i> . Oxfordd University Press, New York. 723 pp.
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes 1984	Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Resolution. 1984. Fort Hall Business Council.
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes 2005	<u>Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall, Idaho</u> . unk ed. provided at 2005 Treaty Rights Seminar: Shoshone-Bannock Tribes,. (3,5,13,14)
Stone 1993	Stone, R.D. 1993. <i>Final Report for the 1992 Challenge Cost Share Project, Uinta and Wasatch-Chache National Forest, Target Species: Ute Ladies'-tresses Orchid (Spiranthes diluvialis Sheviak)</i> . Utah Natural Heritage Program, Salt Lake City, Utah.
Thomas 1994	Thomas, B. 1994. Results of 1993 Test Excavations, Fort Hall, Bannock County, Idaho. Report submitted to NPS, Pacific Northwest Region. Short Report 412, Archaeological and Historical Services, Eastern Washington University.
Thompson 2004	Thompson, R. 2004. Fort Hall Class III Cultural Resource Inventory, Detailed Report. A report prepared for the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes Heritage Tribal Office/Cultural Resources, through a contract with the Bureau of Reclamation, Snake River Office, Boise, Idaho.
USBR and BIA 1986	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation and Bureau of Indian Affairs. 1986. Interagency Agreement Number 6-07-10-L0764.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

USBR 2001	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2001. <i>Special Study Fort Hall Indian Reservation, Idaho MR&I Water System</i> . U.S. Department of the Interior. Pacific Northwest Region. Snake River Area Office. Boise, Idaho.
USBR 2002	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2002. <i>Snake River At Fort Hall, Idaho Bank Erosion Study (Final)</i> . A report prepared by the Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Technical Service Center and Snake River Area Office, for the Shoshone-Bannock Indian Tribes.
USBR 2004a	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2004. <i>Fort Hall Monument Bank Stabilization Conceptual Alternatives (Draft – July 6, 2004)</i> . Technical Service Center. Denver, Colorado.
USBR 2004b	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2004. <i>Restoration and Bank Stabilization on Fort Hall Reservation Lands: Conceptual Alternatives (Draft – November 15, 2004)</i> . Technical Service Center. Denver, Colorado.
USBR 2004c	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2004. <i>Biological Assessment for the Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin Above Brownlee Reservoir</i> . Pacific Northwest Region. Snake River Area Office. Boise, Idaho.
USBR 2005	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2005. "Dams, Projects & Powerplants." <u>The Minidoka Project - Project Authorization</u> . 18 Nov. 2005 < http://www.usbr.gov/dataweb/projects/idaho/minidoka/history.html > .
USBR 2006	U.S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. 2006. <i>Draft: Fort Hall National Historic Landmark Bank Stabilization Analysis of Alternatives</i> . Technical Service Center. Denver, Colorado.
Census 1999, 2000, 2003	U.S. Census Bureau. 1999, 2000, 2003. U.S. Department of Commerce. www.census.gov

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

USDA 1977	U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1977. Soil Survey of the Fort Hall Area, Idaho: Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, and U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 101 p.
USFWS 1986	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1986. Updated 1999. <i>Recovery Plan for the Pacific Bald Eagle</i> . Portland, Oregon.
USFWS 1992	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1992. Assessment of Fish and Wildlife Resources and Bald Eagle use in the American Falls Project Area. Boise Field Office, Boise, Idaho.
USFWS 1994	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. <i>Biological Opinion for Lake Roosevelt Bald Eagles – Salmon Flow Augmentation</i> . Idaho State Office, Boise, Idaho.
USFWS 1995a	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. <i>Snake River Aquatic Species Recovery Plan</i> . Pacific Region.
USFWS 1995b	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. <i>Recommendations and Guidelines for Ute Ladies'-tresses Orchid (<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>) Recovery and Fulfilling Section 7 Consultation Responsibilities</i> . Utah Field Office, Salt Lake City, Utah.
USFWS 2003	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. Data from Utah Valvata Survey near Firth, Idaho. Snake River Office, Boise, Idaho.
USFWS 2004	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. <i>Biological Opinion from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Proposed Relicensing of Five Hydroelectric Facilities on the Middle Snake River, Idaho</i> . Boise, Idaho.
USFWS 2005	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2005. <i>Biological Opinion for Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin Above Brownlee Reservoir</i> . Boise, Idaho.
Weigel 2002, 2003	Weigel, D.E. 2002, 2003. <i>Monitoring and Distribution Surveys for Utah Valvata Snails Protected Under the Endangered Species Act in the Upper Snake River, Idaho</i> . Annual Report. Prepared by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Boise, Idaho. Submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Boise, Idaho.

Parenthetical Reference**Bibliographic Citation**

Wolman and Miller 1960	Wolman, M.G. and Miller, J.P. 1960. Magnitude and frequency of forces in geomorphic process. J. Geol., 68:54-74
Yupe 1999	Yupe, Diane. 1999. Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Member and former Shoshone-Bannock Archaeologist in the Heritage Tribal Office. Fort Hall, Idaho. Personal communication.
Yupe 2000	Yupe, D. 2000. MR&I Water System Project Class I Cultural Resources Inventory. Report prepared for U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Snake River Area Office, Boise, Idaho

Chapter 6 LIST OF PREPARERS

This EA was prepared by the Bureau of Reclamation, in cooperation with the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes and the BIA. A list of persons who prepared various sections of this document, significant background material, or participated to a significant degree in preparing the EA is presented below.

Name	Contribution
Snake River Area Office West, Boise, Idaho	
Gretchen Fitzgerald	NEPA lead/fish biologist
Jill Lawrence	ITAs, Tribal Coordination
Ray Leicht	Cultural Resources
Snake River Area Office East, Burley, Idaho	
Robert H. Boyer	Program Manager
Chris Ketchum	Manager
Keith Brooks	Engineering
Ryan Newman	Wildlife/snails
Pacific Northwest Regional Office, Boise, Idaho	
Bryan Horsburgh	Water Quality
Richard Link	Geology/soils
Sue Tholen	Activity Manager
Carri Hessman	Acting Activity Manager
Vickie Barnes	Technical Writer

Name	Contribution
Technical Service Center, Denver, Colorado	
Drew Baird	Hydraulic Engineer
David Mooney	Hydraulic Engineer
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes	
	January 29, 2007 – letter from the Fort Hall Business Council,
Bureau of Indian Affairs	
F.A. Sam Hernandez	Agricultural Engineer
Norman Bird	Supervisory Soil Conservationist